



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,046	10/10/2001	Soon-ho Jung	Q65216	5067

7590 09/16/2005

SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN,
MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC
Suite 800
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037-3213

EXAMINER

TIEU, BINH KIEN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2643

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/973,046

Applicant(s)

JUNG, SOON-HO

Examiner

BINH K. TIEU

Art Unit

2643

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/22/05.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sprague et al. (U.S. Pat. #: 5,247,575) in view of Chen (U.S. Pat. #: 5,978,775), (**both references cited in the previous Office Action**), and further in view of Park (Pub. No.: US 2004/0203382).

Regarding claim 1, Sprague et al. ("Sprague") teaches a control method for controlling mutual wireless data transmission and reception between a terminal and a server (i.e., between base station 10 or news box 30 and one of PC computer, fax machine, etc. as shown in figure 1) that is embedded with a Bluetooth function, comprising the steps of:

a) preparing a database which stores data to be transmitted from the server to the terminal, the data being classified into a plurality of items (i.e., data collections classified as new reports, stock market quotations, sports scores, etc. are stored in a database of base station and in local FM or TV stations 20, col.9, lines 14-43);

c) receiving from the terminal a user's input item that is selected by the user (col.20, line 66 – col.21, line 3); and

d) transmitting to the terminal data corresponding to the user's input item (col.21, lines 16-24).

It should be noticed that Sprague fails to clearly teach the features of transmitting to the terminal an item selecting program, through which a user selects at least one of the items that the user wishes to receive from the server and receiving the user response input item through such item selecting program. However, Chen teaches such features in col.5, lines 4-40 for a purpose of eliminating retrieval of unavailable item(s) stored from a remote database.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of the features of transmitting to the terminal an item selecting program, through which a user selects at least one of the items that the user wishes to receive from the server and receiving the user response input item through such item selecting program, as taught by Chen, into view of Sprague in order to eliminating retrieval of unavailable item(s) stored from any one of the remote local FM or TV stations' databases.

It should be noticed that Sprague and Chen, in combination, fails to clearly teach the server with an Bluetooth embedded chip for providing Bluetooth embedded function to the server. However, Park a Bluetooth converter 3, as shown in figure 3, operates as a master or a server that provides services to bluetooth terminals 1 in each pico cell or piconet. The Bluetooth converter 3 is provided with embedded Bluetooth chips so that it operates respective masters of several piconets with Bluetooth function (see paragraphs [0063] and [0065]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of the features of providing a server with an Bluetooth embedded chip for providing Bluetooth function, as taught by Park, into view of Sprague and

Art Unit: 2643

Chen, in order to provide short range communications to cellular wireless terminals and to save communication cost to subscribers of the wireless terminals.

Regarding claim 2, Sprague further teaches limitations of the claim in col.17, line 57 – col.18, line 11.

Regarding claim 3, Sprague further teaches limitations of the claim in col.20, lines 48-65.

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sprague et al. (U.S. Pat. #: 5,247,575) in view of Chen (U.S. Pat. #: 5,978,775) and Park (Pub. No.: US 2004/0203382) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Boesjes (U.S. Pat. #: 6,799,165 *also cited in the previous Office Action*).

Regarding claim 4, Sprague, Chen and Park, in combination, teaches all subject matters as claimed above, except for the data stored at the database is voice data. However, Boesjes teaches such features in col. 5, lines 40-51.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of the data stored at the database is voice data, as taught by Boesjes, into view of Sprague, Chen and Park in order to provide dynamic retrieval music data service to wireless subscribers.

4. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (U.S. Pat. #: 5,978,775) in view of Treyz et al. (U.S. Pat. #: 6,587,835), (**both references cited in the previous Office Action**), and further in view of Girard (Pub. No.: US 2003/0063043 A1).

Regarding claim 5, Chen teaches a control method for controlling data transmission and reception of a terminal that is embedded with a function for mutual wireline data transmission and reception with a server, comprising the steps of:

i) receiving an item selecting program (i.e., information menu 200) from the server (i.e., central catalog system of information distribution system; col.3, lines 39-56), the item selecting program providing an item selecting menu through which a user (i.e., terminal 5 shown in figure 1) can select at least one item in a database, the database storing data of a plurality of classified items (col.5, lines 4-12);

ii) displaying the item selecting menu by executing the item selecting program (col.5, lines 13-32 and lines 48-53 and col.7, lines 40-49);

iii) transmitting to the server data about a user's selection (i.e., customer's menu selection) that is made through the item selecting program (col.7, line 66 – col.8, line 3);

iv) receiving from the server data corresponding to the item selected by the user (col.8, lines 39-42); and

v) displaying the data corresponding to the item selected by the user (col.8, lines 59-65).

It should be noticed that Chen teaches the control method performed at customer terminal 5 for the mutual wireline data transmissions. Chen fails to clearly teach the control method is performed by terminal 5 with Bluetooth or short-range communications function in a wireless environment. However, Treyz et al. ("Treyz") teaches such feature in col.13, lines 22-38 for a purpose of communicating a computing device with a local server in a retail store in a mall.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of the features of providing a terminal that is

Art Unit: 2643

embedded with a Bluetooth function for mutual wireless data transmission and reception with a server, as taught by Treyz, into view of Chen in order to provide dynamic content delivery service to wireless subscribers.

It should be noticed that Chen and Treyz, in combination, fails to clearly teach the terminal with an Bluetooth embedded chip for providing Bluetooth embedded function to the terminal. However, Girard teaches a wireless terminal such as a notebook computer, a Bluetooth enabled mobile telephone (see paragraph [0008]) having Bluetooth Integrated Circuit (IC) chips to embedded therein (see paragraph [0012]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of the features of providing a wireless terminal with an Bluetooth embedded chip for providing Bluetooth function, as taught by Girard, into view of Chen and Treyz, in order to provide short range communications between a remote terminal and the wireless terminal and to save communication cost to subscriber of the wireless terminal.

Regarding claim 6, Treyz further teaches limitations of the claim in col.12, line 56 – co.13, line 15.

5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (U.S. Pat. #: 5,978,775) in view of Treyz et al. (U.S. Pat. #: 6,587,835) and Girard (Pub. No.: US 2003/0063043 A1) as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Boesjes (U.S. Pat. #: 6,799,165 *also cited in the previous Office Action*).

Regarding claim 7, Chen, Treyz and Girard, in combination, teaches all subject matters as claimed above, except for the data stored at the database is voice data. However, Boesjes teaches such features in col. 5, lines 40-51.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of the data stored at the database is voice data, as taught by Boesjes, into view of Chen, Treyz and Girard in order to provide dynamic retrieval music data service to wireless subscribers.

6. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (U.S. Pat. #: 5,978,775) in view of Boesjes (U.S. Pat. #: 6,799,165), and further in view of Girard (Pub. No.: US 2003/0063043 A1).

Regarding claim 8, Chen teaches a system, as shown in figure 1, for wireless data transmission and reception, comprising:

a database for storing data to be provided to a user, the data classified into a plurality of items (i.e., memory of 46 of each of information supplier 1 through 3; col.3, lines 34-38 and col.6, lines 14-36);

a server (i.e., central catalog system 60) for transmitting both of an item selecting program that provides an item selecting menu through which the user selects at least one of the items, and a data corresponding to the item selected by the user (see figure 2A, note col.5, lines 4-40); and

a terminal (i.e. customer terminal 5) for executing the item selecting program that is received from the server so that the item selecting menu is displayed to the user, transmitting to the server data about the item that is selected through the item selecting menu and input by the user, and receiving and displaying data corresponding to the item selected by the user which is transmitted from the server (col.8, lines 28-42 and lines 59-65).

It should be noticed that Chen teaches the data transmissions between the server (central catalog system 60) and the customer terminal 5 via the communication network 100 and

Art Unit: 2643

associated telephone line 95 (col.3, lines 53-56). Chen fails to teach wireless transmissions.

However, Boesjes teaches such features in col.6, lines 20-34 for a purpose of offering sales of products to shoppers or buyers.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of wireless communication transmissions such as satellite link or wireless link, as taught by Boesjes, into view of Chen in order to provide transmissions of information data from a remote storage server to the users.

It should be noticed that Chen and Boesjes, in combination, fails to clearly teach the server and the terminal, each being installed with an Bluetooth embedded chip for providing Bluetooth embedded functions the either server and the terminal. However, Girard teaches a wireless terminal such as a notebook computer, a Bluetooth enabled mobile telephone (see paragraph [0008]) coupled to a client server each having Bluetooth Integrated Circuit (IC) chips to be embedded (see paragraph [0012]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of the features of providing a wireless terminal and a server each with an Bluetooth embedded chip for providing Bluetooth function, as taught by Girard, into view of Chen and Treyz, in order to provide short range communications between a remote terminal and the wireless terminal and to save communication cost to subscriber of the wireless terminal.

Regarding claim 9, Boesjes further teaches limitations of the claim in col.5, lines 40-51.

7. Claim 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Treyz et al. (U.S. Pat. #: 6,587,835) in view of Park (Pub. No.: US 2004/0203382).

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 10, Treyz teaches a Bluetooth server, comprising:

a Bluetooth data transception-processing portion for wirelessly transmitting data to a terminal and receiving data from the terminal (i.e., wireless transmitter/receiver 182 shown in figure 13 is used to interact with Handheld computer device 12; col.20, lines 57-67);

a database for storing the data to be transmitted to the terminal, the data being classified into a plurality of items (i.e., shopping list stored in the each of stores' computers 184; col.21, lines 11-14);

a program transmitting portion for transmitting to the terminal through the Bluetooth data transception processing portion an item selecting program through which the user selects at least one item among the plurality of items (col.29, line 66 - col.30, line 7); and

data transmitting portion for transmitting data of the database corresponding to the item selected by the user to the terminal through the Bluetooth data processing portion, when receiving from the terminal the item selected by the user through the item selecting program (col.30, lines 23-50).

It should be noticed that Treyz fails to clearly teach the server with an Bluetooth embedded chip for providing Bluetooth embedded function to the server. However, Park a Bluetooth converter 3, as shown in figure 3, operates as a master or a server that provides services to bluetooth terminals 1 in each pico cell or piconet. The Bluetooth converter 3 is provided with embedded Bluetooth chips so that it operates respective masters of several piconets with Bluetooth function (see paragraphs [0063] and [0065]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of the features of providing a server with an Bluetooth

Art Unit: 2643

embedded chip for providing Bluetooth function, as taught by Park, into view of Treyz, in order to provide short range communications to cellular wireless terminals and to save communication cost to subscribers of the wireless terminals.

8. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Treyz et al. (U.S. Pat. #: 6,587,835) in view of Girard (Pub. No.: US 2003/0063043 A1).

Regarding claim 11, Treyz teaches a Bluetooth terminal (i.e., Handheld computing device 12), comprising:

a Bluetooth data transception-processing portion for wirelessly transmitting a data to a server and receiving a data from the server (see transceiving portion 88 of device 12 in figure 4; col.15, lines 16-35);

a program executing portion for receiving an item selecting program from the server through the Bluetooth data transception processing portion and executing the item selecting program, through which the user selects an item among a plurality of items (col.24, lines 40-54 and col.24, line 64 – col.25, line 4; col.30, lines 30-67 and col.31, lines 20-47);

a command transmitting portion (i.e., user input interface 134 in figure 6; col.16, lines 37-41) for transmitting to the server data (i.e., data representing option 328, 330, etc.) corresponding to the item (i.e., brochure, information on warranties, etc.) selected by the user through the item selecting program (col.30, lines 30-67); and

display means for displaying to the user the data received from the server, the data corresponding to the item selected by the user through the Bluetooth data transception processing portion (col.31, lines 20-47; also read col.32, lines 37-59).

Art Unit: 2643

It should be noticed that Treyz fails to clearly teach the terminal being installed with an Bluetooth embedded chip for providing Bluetooth functions to the terminal. However, Girard teaches a wireless terminal such as a notebook computer, a Bluetooth enabled mobile telephone (see paragraph [0008]) coupled to a client server each having Bluetooth Integrated Circuit (IC) chips to be embedded (see paragraph [0012]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of the features of providing a wireless terminal with an Bluetooth embedded chip for providing Bluetooth function, as taught by Girard, into view of Treyz in order to provide short range communications between a remote terminal and the wireless terminal and to save communication cost to subscriber of the wireless terminal.

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231**

Or faxed to:

**(703) 872-9314 or (571) 273-8300 (for formal communications; please
"EXPEDITED PROCEDURE")**

mark

Or:

**If it is an informal or draft communication, please label
"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")**

Art Unit: 2643

Hand Carry Deliveries to:
Customer Service Window
(Randolph Building)
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh K. Tieu whose telephone number is (571) 272-7510 and E-mail address: BINH.TIEU@USPTO.GOV.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Curtis Kuntz, can be reached on (571) 272-7499 and **IF PAPER HAS BEEN MISSED FROM THIS OFFICIAL ACTION PACKAGE, PLEASE CALL Customer Service at (703) 306-0377 FOR THE SUBSTITUTIONS OR COPIES.**

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the FAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


BINH TIEU
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2643

Date: September 13, 2005